arXiv:1511.08338v3 [math.DS] 15 Sep 2016

ON THE SUPPORT OF POLLICOTT-RUELLE RESONANT STATES FOR ANOSOV FLOWS

TOBIAS WEICH

Abstract. We show that all generalized Pollicott-Ruelle resonant states of a topologically transitiv C^∞ Anosov flow with an arbitrary C^∞ potential, have full support.

1. Introduction

Let (M, g) be a smooth, compact Riemannian manifold without boundary. Let $X \in C^\infty(M, TM)$ be a smooth Anosov vector field and let us denote by φ_t the flow on M generated by X and let $\mathcal{V} \in C^\infty(M; \mathbb{C})$ be a smooth potential function. Then we can define the following differential operator

$$\mathbf{P} := \frac{1}{i} X + \mathcal{V} : C^\infty(M) \to C^\infty(M).$$

It is a well established approach to study the dynamical properties of Anosov flows by the discrete spectrum of the operator $\mathbf{P}$, the so called Pollicott-Ruelle resonances. The fact that for volume preserving flows and real valued potentials, the operator $\mathbf{P}$ is an unbounded, essentially self adjoint operator on $L^2(M)$ might suggest, that $\mathbf{P}$ has good spectral properties on $L^2(M)$. However, due to the lack of ellipticity $\mathbf{P}$ has mainly continuous spectrum which carries little information on the dynamics of the flow. A very important progress was thus to construct Banach spaces [Liv04, BL07] or Hilbert spaces [FS11, DZ13] for Anosov flows in which the operator $\mathbf{P}$ has discrete spectrum in a sufficiently large region (see also [Rug92, Kit99, BKL02, GL06, BT07] for analogous results for Anosov diffeomorphism). More precisely, it has been shown that there is a family of Hilbert spaces H_{sG} parametrized by $s > 0$ such that for any $C_0 > 0$ and for sufficiantly large s the operator $\mathbf{P}$ acting on H_{sG} has discrete spectrum in the region $\{\mathrm{Im}\lambda > -C_0\}$. This discrete spectrum is known to be intrinsic to the Anosov flow together with the potential function and does not depend on the sufficiantly large parameter s (see Section 2.2 for a more precise statement). Accordingly we call $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ a *Pollicott-Ruelle resonance* if it is an eigenvalue of $\mathbf{P}$ on H_{sG} for sufficiently large s and we call $\ker_{H_{sG}}(\mathbf{P} - \lambda_0)$ the space of *Pollicott-Ruelle resonant states*. As $\mathcal{P}$ is not anymore a normal operator on H_{sG}, there might exist also exist finite dimensional Jordan blocks and given a Ruelle resonance λ_0 we denote by $J(\lambda_0)$ the maximal size of a corresponding Jordan block and we call $\ker_{H_{sG}}(\mathbf{P} - \lambda_0)^{J(\lambda_0)}$ the space of *generalized Pollicott-Ruelle resonant states*.

The interest in these Pollicott-Ruelle resonances and their resonant states arises from the fact that they govern the decay of correlations. In order to illustrate this in more detail, let us for the moment assume that the Anosov flow is contact, which includes for example all geodesic flows on compact manifolds with negative sectional curvature. If we denote by dw the invariant contact volume form and take two smooth functions $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$, then one is interested in the behavior of the correlation function

$$C_{f,g}(t) := \int_M f(m) \varphi_t^* g(m) dw.$$

In this case the following expansion of the correlation function has been established in [Tsu10, Corollary 1.2] (see also [NZ13, Corollary 5])

$$C_{f,g}(t) = \int_M f\,dw \int_M g\,dw + \sum_{j=1}^{J}\sum_{k=1}^{K_j} t^k e^{-it\mu_j} u_{j,k}(f)v_{j,k}(g) + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\gamma t}). \tag{1}$$

Here μ_j are the Pollicott-Ruelle resonances for a vanisihing potential ($\mathcal{V} = 0$) which are lying in a strip $0 > \operatorname{Im}(\mu) > -\gamma$. Furthermore $u_{j,1}, v_{j,1}$ are the corresponding left and right generalized eigenstates and the sum over k arises from the possible existence of Jordan blocks of size K_j. As $\operatorname{Im}(\mu_j) < 0$ all terms except the first one vanish exponentially in the limit of large times. This effect is interpreted as an exponential convergence towards equilibrium, known as exponential mixing and has first been established in the seminal works of Dolgopyat [Dol98] and Liverani [Liv04]. The way towards equilibrium is governed by the sub leading resonances and resonant states. While the resonances determine the possible decay modes, the resonant states determine the coefficients. If for example there would be a simple Pollicott-Ruelle resonance μ_j with a resonant state, that vanishes on an open set $U \subset M$, then for all observables $f \in C_c^\infty(U)$ this resonance would not appear in the correlation expansion (1). The main theorem of this article states, that this can not be the case.

Theorem 1. *Let φ_t be a topologically transitive C^∞ Anosov flow. Let λ_0 be a generalized Pollicott-Ruelle resonance and $u \in \ker_{H_{sG}}(\mathbf{P} - \lambda_0)^{J(\lambda_0)} \setminus \{0\}$ then* $\operatorname{supp} u = M$.

Remark 1. Note that contrary to the correlation expansion (1) which we mentioned as a motivation, our result for the resonant states holds for a general C^∞ Anosov flow with no assumption on contact structures, smooth invariant measures, or even mixing properties. Taking a suspension of a topological transitive Anosov diffeomorphism, the theorem directly implies an analogous result for the resonant states of the Anosov diffeomorphism.

Remark 2. To our knowledge there has up to now little been known about the structure of Pollicott-Ruelle eigenstates. However in the proof of [GL08, Theorem 5.1] Gouëzel and Liverani show an analogouse result for the peripheral spectrum of topologically mixing hyperbolic maps. In this case, there is however only one simple peripheral eigenvalue so the statement applies only to this one resonant state which corresponds to the equilibrium measure. Furthermore for the particular case of a geodesic flows on manifolds of constant negative curvature, Dyatlov, Faure and Guillarmou [DFG15] proved an explicit relation between Pollicott-Ruelle resonant states and Laplace eigenstates. Using this relation allows to transfer well established support properties of Laplace eigenstates to support properties of Pollicott-Ruelle eigenstates.

The article is organized as follows: In Section 2 we introduce the basic definitions and recall some known facts about Anosov flows and the microlocal approach to Pollicott-Ruelle resonances. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of the main Theorem 1.

Acknowledgements: I would like to thank Heiko Gimperlein for enlightening discussions about the microlocal techniques in this proof. Furthermore I am grateful to Sebastién Gouëzel, Stéphane Nonnenmacher, Viviane Baladi and Gabriel Rivière for the hints concerning the dynamical systems literature and in particular for the explications about the smoothness of the conditional measures (c.f. Theorem 7) by the first two of them. Last but not least, I thank Colin Guillarmou and Joachim Hilgert for stimulating discussions, from which this work emerged as well as for

their detailed feed-back on an earlier version of the manuscript. This work has been supported by the grant DFG HI 412 12-1.

2. Notation and Preliminaries

2.1. **Anosov flows.** Let (M, g) be a smooth, compact Riemannian manifold without boundary and let us denote the Riemannian distance between two points $m, \tilde{m} \in M$ by $d(m, \tilde{m})$. A smooth vector field $X \in C^\infty(M, TM)$, respectively the flow φ_t on M generated by X, are called *Anosov* if there is a direct sum decomposition of each tangent space

$$T_m M = E_0(m) \oplus E_s(m) \oplus E_u(m)$$

which depends continuously on the base point m and which is invariant under $d\varphi_t$. Furthermore the decomposition has to fulfill $E_0(m) = \mathbb{R}X(m)$ and there has to exist some fixed C and $\theta > 0$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \|d\varphi_t(m)v\|_{T_{\varphi_t(m)}M} &\leq Ce^{-\theta|t|}\|v\|_{T_m M} \quad \forall v \in E_u(m), \quad t < 0 \\ \|d\varphi_t(m)v\|_{T_{\varphi_t(m)}M} &\leq Ce^{-\theta|t|}\|v\|_{T_m M} \quad \forall v \in E_s(m), \quad t > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

An Anosov flow is said to be *topological transitive* if there exists a dense orbit.

It is known, that the bundles $E_0 \oplus E_s$ and $E_0 \oplus E_u$ are uniquely integrable [Ano67, HPS70] and given a point $m \in M$ we denote by $W^{wu}(m)$ and $W^{ws}(m)$ the integral manifolds through the point m which are smooth immersed submanifolds of M. They are called *weak stable* and *weak unstable* manifolds through m. Also the bundles E_s and E_u are uniquely integrable. The corresponding integral manifolds will be denoted by $W^s(m), W^u(m)$ and will be called *strong stable* and *strong unstable* manifolds. For further reference let us denote by $n_{ws}, n_{wu}, n_s, n_u \in \mathbb{N}$ the dimension of the manifolds $W^{ws}(m), W^{wu}(m), W^s(m)$ and $W^u(m)$, respectively. Let us recall the following well established result on the continuous dependence of the invariant manifolds on the base point.

Theorem 2 (c.f. [HP70, Theorem 3.2])**.** *W^{ws} is a family of n_{ws}-dimensional immersed C^∞-submanifolds that depends continuously on the base point w.r.t. the C^∞-topology. More precisely, this means that for any m there is a neighborhood $m \in U \subset M$ as well as a continuous map $g : U \to C^\infty(D^{n_{ws}}, M)$ such that for any $\tilde{m} \in U$, $g(\tilde{m})$ is a C^∞-diffeomorphism of the n_{ws} dimensional disk $D^{n_{ws}}$ onto a neighborhood of $\tilde{m}$ in $W^{ws}(\tilde{m})$.*

The analogous result holds for W^{wu}, W^s, W^u.

Remark 3. The stable and unstable foliations are known to be even Hölder continuous in general, and under certain assumptions such as curvature pinching even regularity C^1 or better can be obtained (c.f. [Has02, Section 2.3]). We will, however, not explicitly need these refined regularity estimates in the sequel.

Given two points $y, z \in W^{ws}(m)$ we denote by $d^{ws}(y, z)$ the metric distance on $W^{ws}(m)$ coming from the metric inherited from the Riemannian metric on M. In the same way we can define d^{wu}, d^s and d^u. We can now define the following different open balls of radius $r > 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} B_r(m) &:= \{\tilde{m} \in M : d(m, \tilde{m}) < r\} \\ B^{wu}_r(m) &:= \{\tilde{m} \in W^{wu}(m) : d^{wu}(m, \tilde{m}) < r\} \\ B^{ws}_r(m) &:= \{\tilde{m} \in W^{ws}(m) : d^{ws}(m, \tilde{m}) < r\} \\ B^{u}_r(m) &:= \{\tilde{m} \in W^{u}(m) : d^{u}(m, \tilde{m}) < r\} \\ B^{s}_r(m) &:= \{\tilde{m} \in W^{s}(m) : d^{s}(m, \tilde{m}) < r\}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3 (Product Neighborhood Theorem)**.** *There exists $\delta_0 > 0$ independent of $m \in M$ such that for any $m \in M$ and $\delta \leq \delta_0$ the following maps*

$$H_m^{ws,u} : \begin{cases} B_\delta^{ws}(m) \times B_\delta^u(m) & \to & M \\ (x,y) & \mapsto & B_{2\delta}^u(x) \cap B_{2\delta}^{ws}(y) \end{cases}$$
$$H_m^{wu,s} : \begin{cases} B_\delta^{wu}(m) \times B_\delta^s(m) & \to & M \\ (x,y) & \mapsto & B_{2\delta}^s(x) \cap B_{2\delta}^{wu}(y) \end{cases}$$

are unambiguously defined, injective and homeomorphisms onto their images.

For a proof see [PS70] (see also [Pla72] for a more detailed statement). Given a point $m \in M$ and $0 < \alpha, \beta < \delta_0$ we define the open product neighborhoods of m

$$\mathcal{PN}_{\alpha,\beta}^{ws,u}(m) := H_m^{ws,u}(B_\alpha^{ws}(m) \times B_\beta^u(m)) \subset M$$

and

$$\mathcal{PN}_{\alpha,\beta}^{wu,s}(m) := H_m^{wu,s}(B_\alpha^{wu}(m) \times B_\beta^s(m)) \subset M.$$

We will call such product neighborhoods also rectangular neighborhoods. These product neighborhoods have the important property that the defining homeomorphisms imply foliations by local invariant manifolds. In the sequel we will only need the foliations of (wu, s)-rectangles which are given by

$$\mathcal{PN}_{\alpha,\beta}^{wu,s}(m) = \bigcup_{x \in B_\alpha^{wu}(m)} \mathcal{S}_x = \bigcup_{y \in B_\beta^s(m)} \mathcal{U}_y$$

where $\mathcal{S}_x := H_m^{wu,s}(\{x\} \times B_\beta^s(m))$ are the local strong stable leaves and $\mathcal{U}_y := H_m^{wu,s}(B_\alpha^{wu}(m) \times \{y\})$ the local weak unstable leaves. Note that from Theorem 2, as well the strong stable leaves $\mathcal{S}_x$ as the weak unstable leaves $\mathcal{U}_y$ are smooth submanifolds of the product neighborhood. Still the foliations are no smooth foliations as the trivialization map $H_m^{wu,s}$ is only continuous, which reflects the fact from Theorem 2 that the local invariant manifolds $\mathcal{S}_x$ ($\mathcal{U}_y$ respectively), depend only continuously on their basepoints x (y respectively). As M is a Riemannian manifold, the leaves $\mathcal{S}_x$ ($\mathcal{U}_y$ respectively) inherit a Riemannian metric and thus also a Lebesgue measure which we denote by $dm_{\mathcal{S}_x}$ ($dm_{\mathcal{U}_y}$ respectively).

One way to introduce Sinai-Ruelle-Bowen (SRB) measures is to demand, that they are absolute continuous w.r.t. the weak unstable foliation.

Definition 1 (SRB measure)**.** A measure μ on M which is invariant under the Anosov flow φ_t is called a *SRB-measure* if for any product neighborhood $\mathcal{PN}_{\alpha,\beta}^{wu,s}(m)$ and for all $y \in B_\beta^s(m)$ there are positive, measureable functions τ_y on $\mathcal{U}_y$ as well as a measure $d\sigma$ on $B_\beta^s(m)$ such that the restriction of μ to $\mathcal{PN}_{\alpha,\beta}^{wu,s}(m)$ is given by

$$\mu_{|\mathcal{PN}_{\alpha,\beta}^{wu,s}(m)} = \int_{B_\beta^s(m)} (\tau_y dm_{\mathcal{U}_y}) d\sigma(y).$$

More precisely this equality means, that for any $f \in C_c(\mathcal{PN}_{\alpha,\beta}^{wu,s}(m))$

$$\int f d\mu = \int_{B_\beta^s(m)} \left(\int_{\mathcal{U}_y} f_{|\mathcal{U}_y}(z) \tau_y(z) dm_{\mathcal{U}_y}(z) \right) d\sigma(y).$$

Remark 4. There are other equivalent possibilities to define SRB measure in terms of metric entropy and ergodic averages, see e.g. [You02] for an overview.

Theorem 4. *For any Anosov flow there exists a SRB measure. If the Anosov flow is topological transitive, the SRB measure is unique and the Anosov flow is ergodic w.r.t. the SRB measure.*

For an original proof of this result in the context of Axiom A diffeomorphism see [Bow70] and for generalizations to flows [Bow73].

2.2. **Microlocal approach to Pollicott-Ruelle resonances for Anosov flows.** Let us collect some facts about the spectral theory of Pollicott-Ruelle resonances. Suitable Banach spaces, in which the differential operator $\mathbf{P}$, has discrete spectrum, have first been introduced by Liverani [Liv04]. In this article we will use the microlocal approach to transfer operators, which has been introduced by Faure, Roy and Sjöstrand in a series of papers [FR06, FRS08, FS11]. The following result for Anosov flows has originally been shown by Faure and Sjöstrand [FS11, Theorem 1.4]. The reader might also be interested in [DZ13, Proposition 3.2] where an alternative proof, using different microlocal techniques, is given. While these two publications only mention the case of zero potential a more general statement including arbitrary smooth potentials can be found in [DG14].

Theorem 5. *For any $C_0 > 0$ there is an $s > 0$ and Hilbert spaces H_{sG} and D_{sG} such that in the region $\{\mathrm{Im}\lambda > -C_0\}$ the operator $\mathbf{P} - \lambda : D_{sG} \to H_{sG}$ is Fredholm of index 0.*

Here the Hilbert space H_{sG} is an anisotropic Sobolev space that fulfills the relations

$$C^\infty(M) \subset H^s(M) \subset H_{sG} \subset H^{-s}(M) \subset \mathcal{D}'(M),$$

where $H^s(M)$ denotes the ordinary Sobolev space. The Hilbert spaces D_{sG} can be defined by considering the operator $\mathbf{P}$ acting on $\mathcal{D}'(M)$ and we set

$$D_{sG} := \{u \in H_{sG} : \mathbf{P}u \in H_{sG}\}$$

which is a Hilbert space with the norm $\|u\|_{D_{sG}} := \|u\|_{H_{sG}} + \|\mathbf{P}u\|_{H_{sG}}$

Since $(\mathbf{P} - \lambda)$ is invertible for $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda)$ large enough (see e.g. [FS11, Lemma 3.3] or [DZ13, Prop 3.1]), analytic Fredholm theory implies that the resolvent

$$\mathbf{R}(\lambda) := (\mathbf{P} - \lambda)^{-1} : H_{sG} \to H_{sG}$$

has a meromorphic continuation to $\{\mathrm{Im}\lambda > -C_0\}$ and the *Pollicott-Ruelle resonances* are defined to be the poles of the meromorphic continuation. Given a Pollicott-Ruelle resonance λ_0 the space $\ker_{H_{sG}}(\mathbf{P} - \lambda_0) \setminus \{0\}$ is nonempty and its elements are called the corresponding *Pollicott-Ruelle resonant states*. Furthermore there is an integer $J(\lambda_0) \geq 1$ determining the maximal size of a Jordan block with spectral value λ_0, i.e. we have for all $k \geq J(\lambda_0)$ $\ker_{H_{sG}}(\mathbf{P} - \lambda_0)^k = \ker_{H_{sG}}(\mathbf{P} - \lambda_0)^{J(\lambda_0)}$ and we call the space $\ker_{H_{sG}}(\mathbf{P} - \lambda_0)^{J(\lambda_0)}$ the space of *generalized Pollicott-Ruelle resonant states*. Note that the fact that $C^\infty(M)$ is densely contained in all H_{sG} together with the uniqueness of meromorphic continuation imply that neither the position of the resonance λ_0 nor the spaces $\ker_{H_{sG}}(\mathbf{P} - \lambda_0)$ and $\ker_{H_{sG}}(\mathbf{P} - \lambda_0)^{J(\lambda_0)}$ depend on the parameter s, so they are intrinsic objects of the Anosov flow (c.f. [FS11, Theorem 1.5]).

A central ingredient in the proof of Theorem 5 and the construction of the anisotropic Sobolev spaces is to study the symplectic lift of the flow action to T^*M. Faure and Sjöstrand therefore introduce the decomposition

$$T^*_m M = E^*_0(m) \oplus E^*_s(m) \oplus E^*_u(m)$$

which is defined such that

$$\begin{aligned} E^*_0(m)(E_s(m) \oplus E_u(m)) &= 0, \\ E^*_u(m)(E_0(m) \oplus E_u(m)) &= 0, \\ E^*_s(m)(E_0(m) \oplus E_s(m)) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that if one identifies $T_m M$ with $T^*_m M$ via the Riemannian metric this definition seems counterintuitive, as $E^*_s(m)$ is identified with $E_u(m)$ and vice versa. The reason for this choice in [FS11] is that it is natural from the point of view of the symplectic lift of φ_t to T^*M (c.f. [FS11, eq. (1.13)]). We will not need



FIGURE 1. Sketch visualizing the properties of the charts κ_i

further details on the construction of the anisotropic Sobolev spaces and refer to the excellent expositions in [FS11, DZ13]. However, we will crucially use the following consequence of these constructions on the microlocal regularity of the Pollicott-Ruelle resonant states [FS11, Theorem 1.7]

$$\ker_{H_{sG}}(\mathbf{P}-\lambda_0)\subset\mathcal{D}'_{E_u^*}(M), \tag{3}$$

where $D'_\Gamma(M)$ denotes the space of distributions on M whose wavefront sets are contained in some closed cone $\Gamma\subset T^*M$ (see [Hör03, Lemma 8.2.1] for further details).

3. On the support of Pollicott-Ruelle eigenstates

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1. Let us start by giving a brief outline of the proof: As a first step, we will introduce suitable charts that are adapted to the foliation of M into stable and unstable manifolds. Then we study some particular distributions, denoted by ρ_A, which are defined in these charts and which correspond to characteristic functions of tubes along the strong stable foliation. Proposition 6 then gives estimates on the decay of the Fourier transformation of ρ_A. As a consequence, one deduces that the wavefront set of the distributions ρ_A are bounded away from E_u^*, thus they can be paired (or multiplied) with Pollicott-Ruelle resonant states. Using the Fourier estimates in Proposition 6 we then show, that any Pollicott-Ruelle resonant state that does not have full support, has to be identically zero. Therefore we only use two properties of the resonant states: the flow invariance of their support and their microlocal regularity. A major technical difficulty in the proof comes from the fact that the stable and unstable foliations for general Anosov flows are only Hölder regular.

We call a finite open cover $M=\bigcup_{i=1}^N R_i$ a cover of product neighborhoods if there are $m_i\in M$, $r_i^{wu},r_i^s>0$ such that $R_i=\mathcal{PN}^{wu,s}_{r_i^{wu},r_i^s}(m_i)$ (c.f. Theorem 3). In order to simplify the notation we write $X_i:=B^{wu}_{r_i^{wu}}(m_i)$, $Y_i:=B^s_{r_i^s}(m_i)$ and denote the homeomorphism of Theorem 3 by $h_i:=H^{wu,s}_{m_i}:X_i\times Y_i\to R_i$. recall that $h_i(X_i\times\{m_i\})=B^{wu}_{r_i^{wu}}(m_i)\subset R_i$ is a smooth submanifold.

Now let us construct a smooth atlas of M by choosing C^∞-charts $\kappa_i:R_i\to V_i\subset\mathbb{R}^n=\mathbb{R}^{n_{wu}}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_s}$ for our open cover of product neighborhoods such that

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_i(m_i)&=0\in\mathbb{R}^n,\\ \kappa_i(B^{wu}_{r_i^{wu}}(m_i))&=(\mathbb{R}^{n_{wu}}\times\{0\})\cap V_i,\\ \kappa_i(B^s_{r_i^s}(m_i))&=(\{0\}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_s})\cap V_i.\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

We can then define the open set $V_i^{wu}:=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^{n_{wu}}:(x,0)\in V_i\}$ and directly see that

$$h_i^{wu}:x\in X_i\mapsto\kappa_i\circ h_i(x,m_i)\in V_i^{wu}\times\{0\}\cong V_i^{wu}$$

defines a homeomorphism between X_i and V_i^{wu}. Now for any Borel set $A \subset V_i^{wu}$ we define

$$S_A := \kappa_i \circ h_i((h_i^{wu})^{-1}(A) \times Y_i) \subset V_i$$

which is again a Borel subset. Note that for a point $x \in V_i^{wu}$ the sets $S_x := S_{\{x\}}$ are exactly the image of the local strong stable manifolds under the coordinate chart κ_i

$$S_x = \kappa_i(B^s_{r_i^s}((h_i^{wu})^{-1}(x))).$$

According to Theorem 2 the $S_x \subset V_i$ are C^∞-submanifolds depending continuously on the basepoint x w.r.t. the C^∞ topology. Furthermore, the definition of S_A allows us to associate to any Borel set $A \subset V_i^{wu}$ the distribution $\rho_A \in \mathcal{D}'(V_i)$ by setting for $\psi \in C_c^\infty(V_i)$

$$\rho_A[\psi] := \int_{V_i} \mathbb{1}_{S_A}(z)\psi(z)dz. \tag{5}$$

Given a chart κ_i we write $T^*V_i = V_i \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and we define $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ to be the minimal closed cones such that

$$\forall\ m \in R_i, \eta \in E_u^*(m): \quad (\kappa_i^{-1})^*(\eta) \in V_i \times \Gamma_1 \subset T^*V_i \tag{6}$$

and

$$\forall\ x \in V_i^{wu}, (s,\xi) \in N^*S_x \subset V_i \times \mathbb{R}^n: \quad \xi \in \Gamma_2. \tag{7}$$

Note that for $\eta \in E_u^*(m_i)$ one has $(\kappa_i^{-1})^*(\eta) \in \{0\} \times (\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_s})$ and that on the other side $N^*S_0 = \{0\} \times (\mathbb{R}^{n_{wu}} \times \{0\})$ are complementary cones. Thus from the continuity of the foliations and after a possible refinement of the charts we can assume that

$$\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2 = \{0\} \tag{8}$$

We now want to study the Fourier transform of ρ_A:

Proposition 6. *Let $\chi \in C_c^\infty(V_i)$ be an arbitrary cutoff function, then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for any Borel set $A \subset V_i^{wu}$,*

$$|\widehat{\chi \cdot \rho_A}(\xi)| \leq C\mathrm{vol}_{n_{wu}}(A) \tag{9}$$

uniformly in $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Here $\mathrm{vol}_{n_{wu}}(A)$ is the n_{wu}-dimensional euclidean volume of $A \subset V_i^{wu} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_{wu}}$.

Furthermore, fix a closed cone $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\Omega \cap \Gamma_2 = \{0\}$. Then for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a $C_N > 0$ such that for all Borel sets $A \subset V_i^{wu}$

$$|\widehat{\chi \cdot \rho_A}(\xi)| \leq C_N\mathrm{vol}_{n_{wu}}(A)\langle\xi\rangle^{-N} \tag{10}$$

uniformly for $\xi \in \Omega$.

Proof. In order to prove this theorem we use the definition of the Fourier transform for compactly supported distributions and get

$$|\widehat{\chi \cdot \rho_A}(\xi)| = |\rho_A[\chi(z)e^{-iz\xi}]| = \left|\int_{V_i} \mathbb{1}_{S_A}(z)\chi(z)e^{-iz\xi}dz\right|. \tag{11}$$

In order to obtain the estimates for this integral let us recall the following fact which is a consequence of the absolute continuity of the strong stable foliation.

Theorem 7. *For any $V_i \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ defined as above and any $x \in V_i^{wu}$ there is a smooth function $\delta_x \in C^\infty(S_x)$ such that for any $\psi \in C_c^\infty(V_i)$*

$$\int_{V_i} \psi(x,y)dxdy = \int_{V_i^{wu}} \left(\int_{S_x} \psi_{|S_x}(s) \cdot \delta_x(s)dm_{S_x}(s)\right) dx. \tag{12}$$

Here dx, dy are the euclidean measures on $\mathbb{R}^{n_{wu}}, \mathbb{R}^{n_s}$, $\psi_{|S_x} \in C^\infty(S_x)$ is the restriction to the smooth submanifold S_x and dm_{S_x} the induced measure on the submanifold S_x.

The functions δ_x are called conditional densities *of the strong stable foliation. Furthermore, their dependence on $x \in V_i^{wu}$ is continuous in the following sense: If $T_s^1(S_x)$ is the set of normalized tangent vectors in $s \in S_x$, then for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the following k-norm*

$$\|\delta_x\|_k := \sup_{s \in S_x} \sup_{X_1,\dots,X_k \in T_s^1(S_x)} |X_1 \dots X_k \delta_x(s)|$$

is finite and for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the map $x \to \|\delta_x\|_k$ is continuous.

Proof. The existence of the conditional measures can be derived by a standard argument from the absolute continuity of the strong stable foliation (see Appendix A respectively standard dynamical systems literature, e.g. [BS02, Section 6.2]). In order to obtain the smoothness of the conditional measures as well as the continuous dependence on the base point x one additionally needs the smoothness of the Jacobians of holonomy maps along the strong stable leaves. This statement can for example be found in [GLP13, Appendix E]. □

First we use the Fubini formula for the strong stable foliation (12) in order to estimate the integral in (11) we obtain

$$|\widehat{\chi \cdot \rho_A}(\xi)| = \left| \int_A \left(\int_{S_x} \chi(s) e^{-is\xi} \delta_x(s) dm_{S_x}(s) \right) dx \right|.$$

Now the uniform bounds on $\|\delta_x\|_0$ directly imply (9).

In order to see (10) we use the boundedness of $\|\delta_x\|_k$ together with the following partial integration argument: For $\xi_0 \in \Omega$ with $|\xi_0| = 1$ and $x \in V_i^{wu}$ we define the tangent vector field

$$\mathcal{X}_{\xi_0,x} : \begin{cases} S_x & \to & TS_x \subset \mathbb{R}^n \\ s & \mapsto & \mathrm{Pr}_{T_sS_x}(\xi_0). \end{cases}$$

Here $\mathrm{Pr}_{T_sS_x}$ is the orthogonal projection onto T_sS_x which makes sense after having identified $TV_i = V_i \times \mathbb{R}^n$. From the definition of S_x and Γ_2 we conclude that for any $x \in V_i^{wu}$ and $s \in S_x$ we have have $(s, \xi_0) \notin N^*S_x$. Thus there is $C_{\xi_0} > 0$ such that

$$\langle \mathcal{X}_{\xi_0,x}(s), \xi_0 \rangle \geq C_{\xi_0}$$

uniformly in $s \in S_x$ and $x \in V_i^{wu}$. By continuity we find an open neighborhood $W_{\xi_0} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of ξ_0 such that

$$\langle \mathcal{X}_{\xi_0,x}(s), \xi \rangle \geq \frac{1}{2} C_{\xi_0}$$

uniformly in $\xi \in W_{\xi_0}$, $s \in S_x$ and $x \in V_i^{wu}$.

Now we use the closedness of Ω and a compactness argument to conclude that there are $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_K$ as well as a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that for any $\xi \in \Omega$ there is $1 \leq l \leq K$, such that

$$\langle \mathcal{X}_{\xi_l,x}(s), \xi \rangle \geq C_0 |\xi| \tag{13}$$

uniformly in $x \in V_i^{wu}$, $s \in S_x$. Furthermore Theorem 2 implies that $s \mapsto \langle \mathcal{X}_{\xi_l,x}(s), \xi \rangle \in C^\infty(S_x)$. Even if this function is not compactly supported, the fact, that the S_x are precompact, and that these functions can always be smoothly extended to a slightly larger stable leaf implies that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the norm $\|\langle \mathcal{X}_{\xi_l,x}(s), \xi \rangle\|_k$ is finite and depends continuously on $x \in V_i^{wu}$.

Now consider

$$\left| \int_{S_x} \chi(s) e^{-is\xi} \delta_x(s) dm_{S_x}(s) \right|.$$

Performing N times partial integration w.r.t. the differential operator

$$L_l := \frac{1}{-i\langle \mathcal{X}_{\xi_l,x}(s),\xi\rangle}\mathcal{X}_{\xi_l,x}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left|\int_{S_x}\chi(s)e^{-is\xi}\delta_x(s)dm_{S_x}(s)\right| \\ \leq & \int_{S_x}\left|\left(\mathcal{X}_{\xi_l,x}\frac{1}{-i\langle \mathcal{X}_{\xi_l,x}(s),\xi\rangle}\right)^N\delta_x(s)\chi(s)\right|dm_{S_x}(s) \\ \leq & C_N\langle\xi\rangle^{-N}, \end{aligned}$$

where C_N is independent of $x\in V_i^{wu}$. Note that for this independence we crucially use that $x\mapsto\|\delta_x\|_k$ as well as $x\mapsto\|\langle\mathcal{X}_{\xi_l,x}(s),\xi\rangle\|_k$ are continuous. □

Recall that we can choose Ω to be an arbitrary cone with $\Omega\cap\Gamma_2=\{0\}$. Thus (10) implies that for any Borel set $A\subset V_i^{wu}$ we have $\rho_A\in\mathcal{D}'_{\Gamma_2}(V_i)$, i.e. the wavefront set of ρ_A is contained in Γ_2. Multiplication of ρ_A with an arbitrary compactly supported distribution $v\in\mathcal{E}'_{\Gamma_1}(V_i)$ is therefore well defined and yields a compactly supported distribution $v\cdot\rho_A\in\mathcal{E}'(V_i)$.

Lemma 8. *For each distribution $v\in\mathcal{E}'_{\Gamma_1}(V_i)$ there is a constant $C_v>0$ such that for all Borel sets $A\subset V_i^{wu}$ we have*

$$|(v\cdot\rho_A)[1]|\leq C_v\mathrm{vol}_{n_{wu}}(A). \tag{14}$$

Proof. As v has compact support in V_i let us choose a cutoff function $\chi\in C_c^\infty(V_i)$ with $\chi=1$ in a neighborhood of $\operatorname{supp}v$. Then we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}(v\cdot\rho_A)[1] &= (v\cdot(\chi\rho_A))[1] = v\cdot\widehat{(\chi\cdot\rho_A)}(0) = (\widehat{v}\star\widehat{\chi\cdot\rho_A})(0)\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\widehat{v}(\xi)\widehat{\chi\cdot\rho_A}(\xi)d\xi\end{aligned}$$

and note that the last integral converges as $\Gamma_1\cap\Gamma_2=\{0\}$.

Now let us fix a closed cone $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ fulfilling $\Gamma_1\setminus\{0\}\subset\mathrm{Int}\Omega$ and $\Omega\cap\Gamma_2=\{0\}$. As $\mathrm{WF}(v)\subset\Gamma_1$ we get for any $N\in\mathbb{N}$ a constant C_N such that

$$|\hat{v}(\xi)|\leq C_{N,v}\langle\xi\rangle^{-N}$$

uniformly for $\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\Omega$. As any distribution is of finite order we in addition get the existence of an integer K_v and a constant $C_{v,2}$ such that

$$|\hat{v}(\xi)|\leq C_{v,2}\langle\xi\rangle^{K_v}$$

uniformly for all $\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n$. Splitting the integral over ξ we thus obtain

$$|(v\cdot\rho_A)[1]|\leq C_{N,v}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\Omega}\langle\xi\rangle^{-N}\left|\widehat{\chi\cdot\rho_A}(\xi)\right|d\xi + C_{v,2}\int_\Omega\langle\xi\rangle^{K_v}\left|\widehat{\chi\cdot\rho_A}(\xi)\right|d\xi$$

for any given $N\in\mathbb{N}$. Then we can use (9) in the first integral and (10) with $N=K_v+n+1$ in the second integral which leads to the desired estimate (14). □

Next we study the local structure of $\operatorname{supp}u\subset M$ for a resonant state $u\in\ker_{H_{sG}}(\mathbf{P}-\lambda_0)$. Therefore note that $\operatorname{supp}u\subset M$ is a φ_t-invariant closed subset. This is the only property that we use in the following lemma.

Lemma 9. *Let $\Sigma\subset M$ be a closed subset invariant under the topological transitive Anosov flow. If $\Sigma\neq M$, then there exists a finite cover of product neighborhoods $M=\bigcup_{i=1}^N R_i$ as well as for any $i=1,\dots,N$ an open subset $N_i\subset X_i$ such that*

$$\mathrm{vol}_{X_i}(X_i\setminus N_i)=0 \text{ and } h_i(N_i\times Y_i)\cap\Sigma=\emptyset. \tag{15}$$

where vol_{X_i} *is the Lebsegue measure on* X_i*.*

Proof. As $M \setminus \Sigma$ is nonempty and open, there exists $\varepsilon_s, \varepsilon_{wu} > 0$ and $m_0 \in M$ such that $\mathcal{PN}^{wu,s}_{\varepsilon_{wu},\varepsilon_s}(m_0) \cap \Sigma = \emptyset$. Let us introduce the open sets

$$U_0 := \mathcal{PN}^{wu,s}_{\varepsilon_{wu},\varepsilon_s/2}(m_0), \quad U_1 := \mathcal{PN}^{wu,s}_{\varepsilon_{wu},\varepsilon_s}(m_0)$$

Now take a cover of product neighborhoods $M = \bigcup_{i=1}^N R_i$ with $r_i^s < \varepsilon_s/4$. We now want to consider the backwards iterates $\varphi_{-t}(U_0)$ for $t > 0$. Firstly, the flow invariance of Σ assures, that $\varphi_{-t}(U_0) \cap \Sigma = \emptyset$. Secondly the dynamical properties of the flow assures that the sets $\varphi_{-t}(U_0)$ are stretched into the strong stable direction and thirdly, if μ denotes the SRB measure, then ergodicity implies that $\mu(\bigcup_{t>0} \varphi_{-t}(U_0)) = \mu(M)$. We will subsequently use these three facts in order to construct the sets N_i.

Therefore we first consider for an arbitrary index i and $t > 0$ the connected components of $\varphi_{-t}(U_0) \cap R_i$. If a connected component can be written in the form $h_i(A \times Y_i)$ with $A \subset X_i$ open, we call it a complete empty tube. We call them "empty" as these sets have no intersection with Σ and we intend to build our set N_i from the sets A. Note that for large t the stretching and folding will imply that $\varphi_{-t}(U_0) \cap R_i$ has many connected components. But as we started with a product neighborhood U_0 and as the strong stable foliation is preserved by the flow, there are maximally two connected components which are not complete empty tubes. They correspond to the two ends of the product neighborhood (c.f. Figure 2). We can however make them complete by considering the connected components $\varphi_{-t}(U_1) \cap R_i$. As $U_1 \cap \Sigma = \emptyset$, these connected components are also empty. Furthermore the fact that $r_i^s < \varepsilon/4$ together with the fact, that the product neighborhoods are stretched along the strong stable direction for negative times implies the following statement: Any connected component of $\varphi_{-t}(U_1) \cap R_i$ that contains a connected component of $\varphi_{-t}(U_1) \cap R_i$ is a complete tube. Thus we can define an open set $A_{i,t} \subset X_i$ such that the union of connected components of $\varphi_{-t}(U_1) \cap R_i$ that contain a connected component of $\varphi_{-t}(U_0) \cap R_i$ is given by $h_i(A_{i,t} \times Y_i)$ with this definition we obviousely have

$$\varphi_{-t}(U_0) \cap R_i \subset h_i(A_{i,t} \times Y_i) \subset \varphi_{-t}(U_1) \cap R_i \subset M \setminus \Sigma.$$

We now can define the opens set $N_i := \bigcup_{t>0} A_{i,t} \subset X_i$ and obtain

$$\bigcup_{t>0} \varphi_{-t}(U_0) \cap R_i \subset h_i(N_i \times Y_i) \subset M \setminus \Sigma.$$

As $h_i^{wu} : X_i \to V_i^{wu}$ is a diffeomorphism, it only remains to prove that $\mathrm{vol}_{n_{wu}}(h_i^{wu}(N_i)) = \mathrm{vol}_{n_{wu}}(V_i^{wu})$. This, however, is an immediate consequence of

$$\mu(h_i(N_i \times Y_i)) \geq \mu(\bigcup_{t>0} \varphi_{-t}(U_0) \cap R_i) = \mu(R_i)$$

(which uses the ergodicity w.r.t. the SRB measure) as well as the following Lemma. □

Lemma 10. *Let* μ *be the SRB measure, and* $A \subset X_i$ *with* $\mathrm{vol}^{n_{wu}}(h_i^{wu}(A)) > 0$ *then* $\mu(h_i(A \times Y_i)) > 0$*.*

Proof. Using the fact that the SRB measure is absolutely continuous w.r.t. the weak unstable foliation we can write

$$\mu(h_i(A \times Y_i)) = \int_{B^s_{r_i^s}(m)} \underbrace{\left(\int_{h_i(A \times \{y\})} \tau_y \, dm_{\mathcal{U}_y} \right)}_{:= g(y)} d\sigma(y).$$



FIGURE 2. Sketch visualizing the the choice of the complete strong stable tubes

Now, let us analyze the function $g(y)$. For $y = 0$ we known from the definition of our charts, that $\mathcal{U}_0$ is diffeomorphic to V_i^{wu}. As $dm_{\mathcal{U}_0}$ comes from a Riemannian metric this induced measure is equivalent to Lebesgue measure on V_i^{wu} and thus the assumption $\mathrm{vol}^{n_{wu}}(h_i^{wu}(A)) > 0$ implies $m_{\mathcal{U}_0}(h_i(A \times \{0\})) > 0$. As ρ_0 is a positive density this implies $g(0) > 0$. Now let us consider an arbitrary other point $y \neq 0$. We want to show, that also the sets $h_i(A \times \{y\}) \subset \mathcal{U}_y$ are of positive measure w.r.t. $m_{\mathcal{U}_y}$. This however is a direct consequence of the fact that $h_i(A \times \{0\})$ has positive measure together with the fact, that the strong stable holonomy maps are absolutely continuous (see e.g. [BP07, Section 8.6.2]). Again the positivity of the density ρ_y implies $g(y) > 0$. g is thus a strictly positive measurable function and consequently $\mu(h_i(A \times Y_i)) = \int_{B^s_{r_i^s(m)}} g(y) d\sigma(y) > 0$. □

We are now able to prove Theorem 1:

Proof. Let λ_0 be a Pollicott-Ruelle resonance, $\tilde{u} \in \ker_{H_{sG}}(\mathbf{P} - \lambda_0)^{J(\lambda_0)} \setminus \{0\}$ an associated generalized resonant state and suppose that $\operatorname{supp} \tilde{u} \neq M$. Let $k = 0, \ldots, J(\lambda_0) - 1$ be the unique integer, such that

$$u := (\mathbf{P} - \lambda_0)^k \tilde{u} \in \ker_{H_{sG}}(\mathbf{P} - \lambda_0) \setminus \{0\}.$$

As the differential operator $\mathbf{P}$ is local we have $\operatorname{supp} u \subset \operatorname{supp} \tilde{u} \subsetneq M$. Furthermore because $\varphi_t^* u = e^{-i\lambda_0} u$, the support $\operatorname{supp} u$ is invariant by the Ansosov flow so we can apply Lemma 9 to $\Sigma = \operatorname{supp}(u)$. Thus we obtain a cover $M = \bigcup_{i=1}^N R_i$ of product neighborhoods as well as open subsets $N_i \subset X_i$ fullfilling

$$h_i(N_i \times Y_i) \cap \operatorname{supp} u = \emptyset. \tag{16}$$

After a possible further refinement we can also guarantee the existence of C^∞-charts $\kappa_i : R_i \to V_i \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ as well as closed cones $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ fulfilling (8). Now let us choose a smooth partition of unity

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \chi_i = 1 \in C^\infty(M) \text{ with } \chi_i \in C_c^\infty(R_i)$$

and define $u_i := (\kappa_i^{-1})^*(\chi_i \cdot u) \in \mathcal{E}'(V_i)$. Recall from (3) that $u \in \ker_{H_{sG}}(\mathbf{P} - \lambda_0)$ implies $\mathrm{WF}(u) \subset E_u^*$ and by (8) this implies that $\mathrm{WF}(u_i) \in \mathcal{E}'_{\Gamma_1}(V_i)$. Now, using Lemma 8, we obtain for an arbitrary Borel set $A \subset V_i^{wu}$ the estimate $|(u_i \cdot \rho_A)[1]| \leq$

$C_{u_i}\mathrm{vol}_{n_{wu}}(A)$. We now want to use this estimate in order to conclude, that $u_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, N$.

Now for any $\varepsilon > 0$ let us introduce the set

$$N_{i,\varepsilon} := \{x \in N_i : \ \{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{wu}} : |x - y| < \varepsilon\} \subset N_i\} \subset V_i^{wu}.$$

As $N_i \subset V_i^{wu}$ are open, also the family $N_{i,\varepsilon}$ is a family of open sets, that increases monotonously and converges to N_i for $\varepsilon \to 0$. Considering the complement $N_{i,\varepsilon}^c := V_i^{wu} \setminus N_{i,\varepsilon}$ we obtain a monotonously decreasing family of closed sets converging to the nullset $V_i^{wu} \setminus N_i$. Furthermore, from (16) we see that the distribution $\rho_{N_{i,\varepsilon}^c}$ defined in (5) is equal to 1 on an open neighborhood of $\mathrm{supp}(u_i)$. Taking an arbitrary $\psi \in C_c^\infty(V_i)$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ we can use this fact to calculate

$$u_i[\psi] = (u_i \cdot \psi)[1] = ((u_i \cdot \psi) \cdot \rho_{N_{i,\varepsilon}^c})[1].$$

But as the multiplication by a smooth function doesn't increase the wavefront set we can apply Lemma 8 to $(u_i \cdot \psi) \in \mathcal{E}'_{\Gamma_1}(V_i^{wu})$ and obtain for any ε

$$|u_i[\psi]| \leq C_{u_i,\psi}\mathrm{vol}_{n_{wu}}(N_{i,\varepsilon}^c).$$

Finally, as the constants $C_{u_i,\psi}$ are independent of ε, the right hand side converges to zero as $\varepsilon \to 0$, so we have shown $u_i[\psi] = 0$. As $\psi \in C_c^\infty(V_i)$ was an arbitrary test function this implies $u_i = 0$ for any i and we conclude that $u = 0$ which is a contradiction. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1. □

Appendix A. Smoothness of the conditional measures

In the proof of the support property of the Pollicott-Ruelle resonant states, the smoothness of the conditional measures (Theorem 7) plays a central role. While the result is well known to experts in dynamical system theory it is difficult to find a precise statement or a proof in the existing literature. This is why I wanted to provide some more details in the arxiv-version of this article, on how to connect the smoothness of the conditional densities to the smoothness of holonomy maps, by standard dynamical systems arguments:

Let $V_i \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be the range of a coordinate chart κ_i as in Theorem 7. As we only work in one fixed chart, we drop the index i in order to simplify the notation and write $V := V_i$. Recall that we have already defined $V^{wu} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{wu}} : (x, 0) \in V\}$ and analogously we define $V^s := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n_s} : (0, y) \in V\}$. Recall that the strong stable manifolds define a foliation of V by the leaves S_x which are parametrized by $x \in V^{wu}$. Note that $S_0 = V \cap (\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_s})$ so it can be identified with V^s. Now for any $y \in V^s$ we define $T_y := V \cap (\mathbb{R}^{n_{wu}} \times \{y\})$ which defines a smooth foliation transversal to the foliation S_x. Recall that by the construction of the coordinate charts (4), T_0 coincides with a weak unstable leaf, but in general the other leaves can not coincide with the weak unstable leaves unless the particular case, that the weak unstable foliation is smooth. Let us now reduce the set V such that it has a product structure w.r.t. the foliations S_x and T_y, i.e. such that for all $x \in V^{wu}$ and $y \in V^s$ one has $S_x \cap T_y =: \mathcal{H}(x, y)$ defines a unique point contained in V. Note that this poses not problem for proving the theorem which claims the existences of the conditional measures in some product neighborhood of the strong stable and weak unstable foliation as such a set is always contained in the reduced set V.

Now with this product structure of S_x and T_y we can define two holonomy maps

$$\mathcal{H}_y^S : \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} T_0 = V^{wu} & \to & T_y \\ x & \mapsto & \mathcal{H}(x, y) \end{array} \right.$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_x^T : \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} S_0 = V^s & \to & S_x \\ y & \mapsto & \mathcal{H}(x, y) \end{array} \right. .$$

Let us first discuss the second one, belonging to the smooth transversal foliation. According to Theorem 2, $\mathcal{H}_x^T$ is a C^∞ diffeomorphism and depends continuously on x in the C^∞-topology. Note that the euclidean metric on V induces metrics and thus measures on the submanifolds S_x which we call $dm_{S_x}(s)$ and as S_0 is simply the y-axis dm_{S_0} is simply the euclidean measure dy on V^s after identifying S_0 and V^s. The fact that $\mathcal{H}_x^T$ are diffeomorphisms gives us a Jacobian function $J_x^T \in C(S_0)$ such that for a any function $\psi \in C_c(S_x)$

$$\int_{S_x} \psi(s) dm_{S_x}(s) = \int_{S_0} \psi \circ \mathcal{H}_x^T(y) J_x^T(y) dm_{S_0}(y).$$

The theorem of change of variables gives us, the precise form of $J_x^T(y)$: For any $y \in S_0$ the differential of the holonomy maps is a linear isomorphism

$$D\mathcal{H}_x^T : T_y S_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to T_y S_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Choosing two orthonormal basis of the n_s-dimensional subspaces $T_y S_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $T_y S_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ (w.r.t. to the euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^n$) the differential can be expressed as an $n_s \times n_s$ matrix and the Jacobian is simply the absolute value of the determinant. Now the fact, that $\mathcal{H}_x^T$ is C^∞ and depends continuously on x translates to the fact that $J_x^T \in C^\infty(S_0)$ and depends continuously on x w.r.t. the C^∞-topology.

Let us now turn to the holonomy maps of the strong stable foliation. Here the situation is infinitely more complicated, as for a general Anosov flow the maps $\mathcal{H}_y^S$ are only Hölder continuous homeomorphisms. However it is known that they are absolutely continuous and that they possess a Jacobian J_y^S such that for any function $\psi \in C_c(T_y)$

$$\int_{T_y} \psi(x) d\mathrm{Leb}_{T_y}(x) = \int_{T_0} \psi \circ \mathcal{H}_y^S(x) J_y^S(x) d\mathrm{Leb}_{T_0}(x).$$

The existence of such Jacobians for holonomies in dynamical systems can be found in various textbooks (see e.g. [BS02, Section 6.2]. It is however more difficult to find sharp statements on their regularity in x and y. The following sufficiently strong statement for our purpose can for example be found in [GLP13, Appendix E]

Proposition 11. *For any x, the map $y \in S_0 \mapsto J_y^S(x) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is in $C^\infty(S_0)$ and the map $x \in V^{wu} \mapsto J_\bullet^S(x) \in C^\infty(S_0)$ is continuous* [1]

Let us now combine all the ingredients in order to calculate the conditional densities. Given a function $\psi \in C_c(V)$ we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_V \psi(x,y) dx\, dy &= \int_{V^s} \left[\int_{T_y} f(x,y) d\mathrm{Leb}_{T_y}(x) \right] dy \\
&= \int_{V^s} \left[\int_{T_0} \psi \circ \mathcal{H}_y^S(x) J_y^S(x) d\mathrm{Leb}_{T_0}(x) \right] dy \\
&= \int_{T_0} \left[\int_{S_0} \psi(\mathcal{H}(x,y)) J_y^S(x) dm_{S_0}(y) \right] d\mathrm{Leb}_{T_0}(x) \\
&= \int_{T_0} \left[\int_{S_x} \psi(s) \frac{J^S_{(\mathcal{H}_x^T)^{-1}(s)}(x)}{J_x^T((\mathcal{H}_x^T)^{-1}(s))} dm_{S_x}(s) \right] d\mathrm{Leb}_{T_0}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

[1] Note that in [GLP13, Appendix E] they state the result for the strong unstable foliation. Furthermore they give even more precise information on the Hölder regularity of the map $x \mapsto J_\bullet^S(x)$ which is not relevant for our purpose.

We have thus shown that the conditional densities take the form

$$\delta_x(s) = \frac{J^S_{(\mathcal{H}^T_x)^{-1}(s)}(x)}{J^T_x((\mathcal{H}^T_x)^{-1}(s))}.$$

Collecting the statements on the regularity of the Jacobians and holonomy maps we conclude, that for any x they are smooth and that the map

$$x \mapsto \delta_x(H^T_x(\bullet)) \in C^\infty(S_0)$$

depends continuously on x w.r.t. the C^∞ topology. This statement assures, the uniform bounds on $\|\delta_x\|_k$.

Remark 5. In the case of C^∞-foliations we could take coordinate charts κ_i such that $S_x = (\{x\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_s}) \cap V_i$. Then (12) would reduce to the ordinary Fubini theorem. For a general foliation such generalizations of Fubini theorems are a nontrivial task and there are counterexamples of foliations that are not absolutely continuous (see e.g. [BP07, Section 8.6]).

Remark 6. For Anosov maps, an analogouse statement to Theorem 7 can be found in [Che02, Remark 3.4, p.377].

References

[Ano67] D.V. Anosov, *Geodesic flows on closed Riemannian manifolds of negative curvature*, Trudy Matematicheskogo Instituta im. VA Steklova **90** (1967), 3–210.

[BKL02] M. Blank, G. Keller, and C. Liverani, *Ruelle–Perron–Frobenius spectrum for Anosov maps*, Nonlinearity **15** (2002), 1905.

[Bow70] R. Bowen. *Markov partitions for Axiom A diffeomorphisms.* American Journal of Mathematics **92**.3 (1970): 725-747.

[Bow73] R. Bowen *Symbolic dynamics for hyperbolic flows.* American journal of mathematics **95**.2 (1973): 429-460.

[BL07] O. Butterley and C. Liverani, *Smooth Anosov flows: Correlation spectra and stability*, J. Mod. Dyn. **1** (2007), no. 2, 301–322.

[BP07] L. Barreira and Y. Pesin, *Nonuniform hyperbolicity. Dynamics of systems with nonzero Lyapunov exponents*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007.

[BS02] M. Brin and G. Stuck, *Introduction to dynamical systems*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2002.

[BT07] V. Baladi and M. Tsujii, *Anisotropic Hölder and Sobolev spaces for hyperbolic diffeomorphisms*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **57** (2007), no. 1, 127–154.

[Che02] N. Chernov *Invariant measures for hyperbolic dynamical systems.* Handbook of dynamical systems. Volume 1A, Amsterdam: North-Holland, 2002, pp. 321-407.

[DFG15] S. Dyatlov, F. Faure, C. Guillarmou *Power spectrum of the geodesic flow on hyperbolic manifolds.* Anal. PDE **8**, No. 4, 923-1000 (2015).

[DG14] S. Dyatlov and C. Guillarmou, *Pollicott-Ruelle resonances for open systems*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1410.5516 (2014).

[Dol98] D. Dolgopyat, *On decay of correlations in anosov flows*, Annals of mathematics (1998), 357–390.

[DZ13] S. Dyatlov and M. Zworski, *Dynamical zeta functions for Anosov flows via microlocal analysis*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1306.4203 (2013).

[FR06] F. Faure and N. Roy, *Ruelle-Pollicott resonances for real analytic hyperbolic maps*, Nonlinearity **19** (2006), no. 6, 1233–1252.

[FRS08] F. Faure, N. Roy, and J. Sjoestrand, *Semi-classical approach for Anosov diffeomorphisms and Ruelle resonances*, Arxiv preprint arXiv:0802.1780 (2008).

[FS11] F. Faure and J. Sjöstrand, *Upper bound on the density of Ruelle resonances for Anosov flows*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **308** (2011), no. 2, 325–364.

[GL06] S. Gouëzel and C. Liverani, *Banach spaces adapted to Anosov systems*, Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst. **26** (2006), no. 1, 189–217.

[GL08] S. Gouëzel and C. Liverani, *Compact locally maximal hyperbolic sets for smooth maps: fine statistical properties*, Journal of Differential Geometry **79** (2008), no. 3, 433–477.

[GLP13] P. Giulietti, C. Liverani, and M. Pollicott, *Anosov flows and dynamical zeta functions*, Ann. Math. (2) **178** (2013), no. 2, 687–773.

[Has02] B. Hasselblatt, *Hyperbolic dynamical systems*, Handbook of dynamical systems. Volume 1A, Amsterdam: North-Holland, 2002, pp. 239–319.

[Hör03] L. Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators. I. Distribution theory and Fourier analysis. Reprint of the second (1990) edition*, Springer, Berlin, 2003.

[HP70] M.W. Hirsch and C.C. Pugh, *Stable manifolds and hyperbolic sets*, Global Analysis (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XIV, Berkeley, Calif., 1968), 1970, pp. 133–163.

[HPS70] M.W. Hirsch, C.C. Pugh, and M. Shub, *Invariant manifolds*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **76** (1970), 1015–1019.

[Kit99] A.Yu. Kitaev, *Fredholm determinants for hyperbolic diffeomorphisms of finite smoothness*, Nonlinearity **12** (1999), no. 1, 141–179.

[Liv04] C. Liverani, *On contact Anosov flows*, Ann. Math. (2) **159** (2004), no. 3, 1275–1312.

[NZ13] S. Nonnenmacher and M. Zworski, *Decay of correlations for normally hyperbolic trapping*, Inventiones mathematicae **200** (2013), no. 2, 345–438.

[Pla72] J. F. Plante, *Anosov flows*, Am. J. Math. **94** (1972), 729–754.

[PS70] C. Pugh and M. Shub, *The Ω-stability theorem for flows*, Invent. Math. **11** (1970), 150–158.

[Rug92] H.H. Rugh, *The correlation spectrum for hyperbolic analytic maps*, Nonlinearity **5** (1992), no. 6, 1237.

[Tsu10] M. Tsujii, *Quasi-compactness of transfer operators for contact anosov flows*, Nonlinearity **23** (2010), no. 7, 1495.

[You02] L.S. Young, *What are SRB measures, and which dynamical systems have them?*, Journal of Statistical Physics **108** (2002), no. 5, 733–754.

Institut für Mathematik, Universitat Paderborn, Paderborn, Germany
E-mail address: weich@math.uni-paderborn.de